**Exercise 0.0.1.** Prove the following theorem of Steinhaus. Suppose  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is Lebesgue measurable and suppose its Lebesgue measure l(A) > 0. Denote  $A - A = \{x - y \mid x \in A, y \in A\}$ . Prove that A - A contains an open interval around 0. (Hint: Let  $f(x) = l((x + A) \cap A)$ ). Show that f is a continuous function of x, and find f(0).)

With the help of Exercise 0.0.1 we can prove a generalization of Example ??. We will show that every subset  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  of strictly positive measure must contain a non-measurable set.

**Theorem 0.0.1.** If  $P \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$  and if l(P) > 0, then there exists a nonmeasurable subset of P.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  denote the set of all rational numbers, as usual. We will define again an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{R}$  by

$$x \sim y \Leftrightarrow x - y \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

By the Axiom of Choice, we can find a cross-section  $\Gamma^{-1}$  of the quotient space  $\mathbb{R}/\sim$ . Thus we can express the real line as the following disjoint union:

$$\mathbb{R} = \dot{\bigcup}_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} (\Gamma + q)$$

since if  $\gamma + q = \gamma' + q'$  then  $\gamma - \gamma' \in \mathbb{Q}$ . This would make  $\gamma \sim \gamma'$  and thus  $\gamma = \gamma'$  since  $\Gamma$  is a cross-section of  $\mathbb{R}/\sim$ .

If  $P \cap (\Gamma + q)$  were not Lebesgue measurable for some  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then we would be finished because P would have a non-measurable subset. However, if  $P \cap (\Gamma + q) = P_q \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$  for each  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  then we observe that

$$P_q - P_q \subseteq (\Gamma + q) - (\Gamma + q) = \Gamma - \Gamma$$

where  $\Gamma - \Gamma$  is disjoint from the dense set  $\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$  for the reasons explained just above. Thus the difference  $P_q - P_q$  of a supposedly Lebesgue measurable set with itself fails to include any open interval around 0. By Steinhaus's theorem (Exercise 0.0.1)  $P_q$  must have measure zero. Hence P itself is the union of countably many disjoint null sets, which contradicts the hypothesis that l(P) > 0.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>That is,  $\Gamma$  contains exactly one element of each equivalence class in  $\mathbb{R}$ .