

## Results and conjectures on the “size” of the space of smooth functions on a compact manifold.

Ralph Howard

Department of mathematics  
University of South Carolina  
Columbia, SC, 29208

Let  $M$  be a smooth compact manifold and let  $C^\infty(M)$  be the space of all real (or if it is convenient complex) valued functions on  $M$ . Fix a Riemannian metric on  $M$  and let  $\Delta$  be the Laplacian on  $M$  with the sign chosen so that  $\Delta$  is a positive semi-definite operator. For  $k \geq 0$  define  $q_k: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$q_k(u) = \|(I + \Delta)^{k/2}u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

Then the collection of semi-norms  $\{q_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  defines a Fréchet topology on  $C^\infty(M)$ . This topology is independent of the choice of the Riemannian metric on  $M$  and is the standard topology on  $C^\infty(M)$ .

**Definition.** If  $M$  and  $N$  are smooth compact Riemannian manifolds. Then a linear operator  $L: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(N)$  is **of finite order** iff there is an integer  $\ell$ , the **order** of  $L$ , so that for all  $k$ , there is  $C_k > 0$  such that

$$q_k(Lu) \leq C_k q_{k+\ell}(u)$$

for all  $u \in C^\infty(M)$ .

**Proposition.** *Given any two compact Riemannian manifolds  $M$  and  $N$  (with no restrictions on the dimensions) there is an injective linear  $L: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(N)$  of order 0. In fact  $L$  can be taken to be an integral operator with smooth kernel.*

This gives counterexamples to a conjecture of mine that if  $\dim M > \dim N$ , then the kernel of  $L$  must be infinite dimensional. The goal had been to explain why the Radon transforms  $R: C^\infty(\mathbf{Gr}_j(\mathbf{R}^n)) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbf{Gr}_k(\mathbf{R}^n))$  between function spaces on Grassmann manifolds have infinite dimensional kernels when  $\dim \mathbf{Gr}_j(\mathbf{R}^n) > \dim \mathbf{Gr}_k(\mathbf{R}^n)$  by a method independent of representation theory that would generalize to geometric transforms not related to group actions.

The following would make the intuitive idea that  $C^\infty(M)$  is somehow “larger” than  $C^\infty(N)$  when  $\dim M > \dim N$ .

**Conjecture.** *Let  $A: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(N)$  and  $B: C^\infty(N) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$  be linear operators each of finite order with*

$$AB = I_{C^\infty(M)} \quad \text{and} \quad BA = I_{C^\infty(N)}.$$

*Then  $\dim M = \dim N$ .*

This is known to be false if the condition that  $A$  and  $B$  have finite order is dropped. More details and a proof of the proposition above can be found at <http://www.math.sc.edu/~howard/Notes/counterexample.pdf>.